

USSN 09/500,698
Page 1 of 3

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 27 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION

Applicant(s): **Bulkowski**
Case: **TVW/APP19US**
Serial No.: **09/500,698** Filed: **2/9/00**
Examiner: **Kristie D. Shingles** Group Art Unit: **2141**
Title: **BROADCAST DISTRIBUTION USING LOW-LEVEL OBJECTS
AND LOCATOR TABLES**

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

MAIL STOP AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

<p>CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage for first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO, on the date indicated below.</p>	
<p><u>9-27-06</u> Date</p>	<p><u>C. Wilson</u> C. Wilson</p>

SIR:

This Statement of the Substance of the Interview is filed in response to the interview summary dated September 13, 2006. Please consider the above-identified application as follows.

In the event that an extension of time is required for this response to be considered timely, and a petition therefor does not otherwise accompany this response, any necessary extension of time is hereby petitioned for.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees due, including excess claim and extension of time fees, to make this response timely and complete to counsel's Deposit Account 20-0782/TVW/APP19US.

502827_1.DOC

USSN 09/500,698
Page 2 of 3

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 27 2006

REMARKS

This response is intended as a full and complete response to the a Statement of Substance of Interview concerning an interview, held on August 29, 2006, between Examiner Kristie Shingles from the USPTO and Chin (Jimmy) Kim, representative of the Applicant and a supplemental response to the Final Office Action dated July 24, 2006.

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Applicant's representative wishes to thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended during the interview and for taking time out of the Examiner's busy schedule to speak with Applicant's representative.

The Applicant acknowledges the Examiner's summary of the Substance of the Interview mailed on September 13, 2006. The Applicant and Examiner Shingles are in agreement that Sridhar, et al reference fail to teach the low-level objects being in order by retrieval priority as applied to claims 1, 3, 4, 6-35, 39, 41-48 and 50. The Applicant, hereby, incorporates by reference all arguments included in the response to the Final Office Action dated July 24, 2006, filed by Applicant on September 14, 2006. The Applicant respectfully submits that Examiner Shingles has indicated during the interview that she will update the search and further consider the claimed limitations upon the official submission of Applicant's arguments filed on September 14, 2006, incorporated herein by reference.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and passage of the claims to allowance. If, however, the Examiner believes that there are any unresolved issues requiring adverse final action in any of the claims

USSN 09/500,698

Page 3 of 3

now pending in the application, it is requested that the Examiner telephone Eamon J. Wall or Jimmy Kim at (732) 530-9404 so that appropriate arrangements can be made for resolving such issues as expeditiously as possible.

Respectfully submitted,

9/27/06

EJ Wall

Eamon J. Wall, Attorney
Reg. No. 39,414
(732) 530-9404

Patterson & Sheridan, LLP
Attorneys at Law
595 Shrewsbury Avenue, Suite 100
Shrewsbury, New Jersey 07702